

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,961	07/25/2003	William C. Alexander	BAES:026US	2433
	7590 05/01/2007 & JAWORSKI L.L.P.		EXAM	INER
600 CONGRESS AVE. SUITE 2400		•	LEE, SIU M	
AUSTIN, TX 7	8701		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2007	PAPER .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/627,961	ALEXANDER, WILLIAM C.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Siu M. Lee	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) No tute, cause the application to become	NICATION. r a reply be timely filed IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	February 2007.	·					
<i>'</i> =	, —						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,17 and 18</u> is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) <u>9-76</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 9-16 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
	•						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		in shall be builded Francisco					
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2003</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
•							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice 6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application					

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Remarks

1. Applicant's arguments filed 2/16/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner has thoroughly reviewed Applicant's arguments but firmly believes that the 101 rejections on claims 9-16 have not been overcome by the amendment on claims 9-16.

Applicant's argument:

Claim 9-16 have been amended to replace the phrase "computer readable medium" with "data storage device" to overcome the 101 rejections. Non-limiting of data storage devices are listed on page 10 of the specification.

Examiner's response:

The applicant argued that the examples of the data storage device are not recited in the claim. Therefore, limitations contained therein cannot be read into the claims for the purpose of overcoming the 101 rejection.

The amended claim 9 recites a data storage device comprising machine readable instruction. It appears that the claim would reasonable be interpreted by one of ordinary skill in the art as software per se storing in a data storage device. Software per se, i.e., the description or expression of the program, are not physical "things". They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "act" being performed. Therefore, it is a non-statutory functional descriptive material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 9-16 recites a data storage device comprising machine readable instruction. It appears that the claim would reasonable be interpreted by one of ordinary skill in the art as software per se storing in a data storage device. Software per se, i.e., the description or expression of the program, are not physical "things". They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "act" being performed. Therefore, it is a non-statutory functional descriptive material.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-8 and 17-18 are allowed.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The present invention describes the method and apparatus for filtering common mode interference. The closest prior arts, Johnson (US 5,920,223) discloses a method and apparatus to improve immunity to common-mode noise. However, Johnson fails to disclose summing the levels of a subset of data channels to get a summed level and compare the summed level to the highest level present in the plurality of data channels and rejecting a common mode interference in each of the plurality of channels if the

Art Unit: 2611

summed level exceeds the highest level. The distinct features have been added to the independent claims 1 and 17, therefore, rendering them allowable.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Siu M. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 270-1083. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-4:00 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,961

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 5

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Siu M. Lee 4/25/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER